



## Angewandte Corrigendum


<sup>2</sup>H and <sup>139</sup>La NMR Spectroscopy in Aqueous Solutions at Geochemical Pressures

G. Ochoa, C. D. Pilgrim, M. N. Martin, C. A. Colla, P. Klavins, M. P. Augustine, W. H. Casey\* \_\_\_\_\_\_\_ 15444-15447

Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2015, 54

DOI: 10.1002/anie.201507773

In Figure 1 of this Communication, the units on the vertical axes were incorrectly given as milliseconds instead of seconds. The corrected Figure 1 is shown below. The authors apologize for this oversight.



**Figure 1.** a)  $T_1$  values from  $^2H$  NMR spectra as a function of pressure of 4.5  $\,\mathrm{M}$  LaCl $_3+^2H_2O$  solutions. The lines are linear regressions. The  $T_1$  values as a function of solution composition at 298 K are shown in (b). The solid circles (♠) are 4.5  $\,\mathrm{M}$  LaCl $_3$  and the red symbols (♠) identify data of Lee et al. (1974). The LaCl $_3+^2H_2O$  solutions are: ○=1.0  $\,\mathrm{M}$ ,  $\,\mathrm{V}=0.5\,\mathrm{M}$ ,  $\,\mathrm{V}=0.1\,\mathrm{M}$ , and the La(ClO $_4$ ) $_3+^2H_2O$  solutions are: ■=1.0  $\,\mathrm{M}$  and □=0.1  $\,\mathrm{M}$ . Uncertainties in pressure are  $\pm$ 100 MPa at 400 MPa or less and 50 MPa at pressures higher than 400 MPa. They are shown only for the 0.1  $\,\mathrm{M}$  and 4.5  $\,\mathrm{M}$  LaCl $_3$  data to avoid clutter and are assigned as the 95  $\,\mathrm{M}$  prediction interval from repeated external calibrations (see the Supporting Information).